

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/23/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/628,121	07/28/2003	Rosario G. Drago	LIN840	3068
75	90 07/23/2004		EXAMINER	
LINGBECK PATENT OFFICE			MEISLIN, DEBRA S	
P.O. BOX 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST. MICHAEL, MN 55376			ARTONII	FAFER NOMBER
			3723	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/628,121	DRAGO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Debra S Meislin	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro to cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•					
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 <sub>.</sub> O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	· / ——					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	red.				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail I		2			

Application/Control Number: 10/628,121

**Art Unit: 3723** 

1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 4, "motor" should be –a motor-.

In claim 2, line 10, "said container" lacks antecedent basis.

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mattera in view of Martin et al.

Mattera discloses a power supply/air compressor "20" disposed in a vehicle including a mounting bracket "24", a jack "40", hoses "50", a tool accessory outlet "22", and means for removing lugs including an air impact wrench (col. 3, line 55). The air compressor "20" is powered by the vehicle's battery. Martin et al discloses a power supply attached to a vehicle including an air compressor "90", and an air reservoir "92", a switch "94", a battery "96", hoses "98", and tool connections "102" for engagement to various tools including an tool to remove lug nuts. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the device of Mattera with a switch for turning the device on or off as taught by Martin. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the device of Mattera been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the device of Mattera with detachable hoses to allow for the attachment/detachment of various tools as taught by Martin. The examiner takes

Application/Control Number: 10/628,121

Art Unit: 3723

Official Notice that the use of a motor having a rotatable shaft is old and well known in the field of air compressors. Consequently, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to form the air compressor of Mattera with a motor having a rotatable shaft to power the device as such is an old and well known mechanical equivalent.

- 4. Claims 2-7 may be given favorable consideration if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Debra S Meislin whose telephone number is 703 308-3671. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 703 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Debra S Meislin Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723